

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIGUL 1

08/876,839

06/36/97

WM41/0119

**EXAMINER** 

JONES & ASKEW 2400 MONARCH TOWER

3424 PEACHTREE ROAD N.E. SUITE 2400 ATLANTA GA 30326-1156

**ART UNIT** 

i i Euro

PAPER NUMBER

**DATE MAILED:** 

01/19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 





Application No.

Examiner

08/876,839

Benny Q. Tieu

Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit 2642

Holt et al



## Interview Summary

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Benny Q. Tieu	(3)
(2) Michael K. Dixon	(4)
Date of Interview Jan 10, 2001	
Type: 🛚 Telephonic 🗆 Personal (copy is given to 🗀 app	olicant  applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes  No. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement   was reached.  was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1-3	
Identification of prior art discussed:  Morganstein (U.S. Patent No. 5,029,196) and Brennan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,329,578)	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  Applicant states the differences between prior art and applicant's invention. Specially, applicant states that routing lists in claim 1 differ from the teachings in Morganstein and Brennan. Examiner disagreed and further explained how the claim language can be read by Morganstein and Brennan. Applicant will consider and amend the claims.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.	
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.	